

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/139,330	08/25/1998	KOICHI SAKAMOTO	Q50138	5205
7	590 07/02/2003			
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE N W WASHINGTON, DC 200373202			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, LUONG TRUNG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2612	OI.
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

the state of the s		_				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	09/139,330	SAKAMOTO, KOICHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LUONG T NGUYEN	2612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTs, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16.	<u>April 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application	า					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,20 and 21</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9,10 and 19</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-18</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce	pted or b) ☐ objected to by the	e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ dis	sapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Ap	plication No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	• •					
Attachment(s)	· · ·	· -				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inf	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 9-10, 19 filed on 4/16/2003 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 9-10, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Levine (US 4,751,583) in view of Harshbarger, Jr. et al. (US 5,351,201).

Regarding claim 9, Levine discloses a method of printing an image, comprising the steps of capturing an original image by an image pickup device (camera 10, figure 1, column 2, lines 40-45); displaying the original image captured by the image pickup device on a display device as a reproduced image (display 13, figure 1, column 3, lines 15-40); restoring print image data representing a print image associated with the reproduced image to be displayed on a server monitor (image captured by camera 10 can be displayed on display screen 23, figure 1, column 3, lines 55-61); performing a printing processing on the print image data (printer 22, figure 1,

Art Unit: 2612

column 3, lines 55-61); printing an image represented by the print image data performed with the printing processing (printer 22, figure 1, column 3, lines 55-61).

Levine fails to specifically disclose displaying on a screen of the display device a reference image for detection of a controlled state of the display device; capturing the reference image displayed on the screen by the image pickup device to produce reference image data; and estimating a displayed state of the reproduced image displayed on the display device from the reference image data. However, Harshbarger, Jr. et al. disclose a camera 26 which is utilized to view the visual information presented on display 22 through input from pattern generator 24 (displaying and capturing the reference image, figure 1, column 6, lines 27-50). Harshbarger, Jr. et al. disclose a program for performing analysis of the video display, once the pattern and code have been displayed on the video display (estimating a displayed state of the reproduced image displayed on the display device, figure 14, column 13, lines 14-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device in Levine by the teaching of Harshbarger, Jr. et al. in order to provide an apparatus for automatically evaluating the performance of an electronic video display device (column 3, lines 39-40).

Regarding claim 10, Harshbarger, Jr. et al. disclose wherein the reference image comprises a picture pattern reference representing gradation levels (gray scale, figure 4J, column 9, lines 46-48).

Art Unit: 2612

Regarding claim 19, Levine discloses step of editing (column 4, lines 35-45). Levine and Harshbarger, Jr. et al. do not disclose the step of performing the printing processing comprising the step of using information obtained during the step of editing to modify the print image data. However, Levine discloses a hard copy is printed by printer 22 (figure 1) and image is edited before being printing (column 4, lines 35-45). It would have been obvious to include the step of using information obtained during the step of editing to modify the print image data into the device of Levine and Harshbarger, Jr. et al. in order to a desired hard copy.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-8, 20-21 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

As for claims 1-8, See Examiner's comment for a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter as indicated in paper No. 6 made on 1/16/2003.

Regarding claim 20, the prior art of the record fail to show or fairly suggest an image print system comprising a first processor, said first processor comprising a data transmitter for receiving, from said image pickup device, reference image data generated from said image pickup device capturing the reference image displayed on said display device, and for transmitting the reference image data together with the original image data.

Claim 21 is allowable for the reason given respect to claim 20.

Art Unit: 2612

5. Claims 11-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would

be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim

and any intervening claims.

See Examiner's comment for a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable

subject matter as indicated in paper No. 6 made on 1/16/2003.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 6.

examiner should be directed to Luong Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-9297. If

attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy

Garber can be reached on (703) 305-4929.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to: (703) 872-9314

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,

Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

June 28, 2003

CENTER 2600

Page 5